

SUMMARY REPORT OF INVESTIGATION¹

Date/Time/Location of Incident:	July 26, 2017/ 7:00 pm / [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Date/Time of COPA Notification:	July 27, 2017 / 3:32 pm
Involved Officer #1:	[REDACTED] Star # [REDACTED] Employee ID # [REDACTED] Date of Appointment: [REDACTED], 2002; Police Officer, Unit [REDACTED] Date of Birth: [REDACTED] 1997, Female, White
Involved Officer #2:	[REDACTED] Star [REDACTED] Employee ID # [REDACTED] Date of Appointment: [REDACTED], 1997; Police Officer, Unit [REDACTED] Date of Birth: [REDACTED], 1971, Female, Hispanic
Involved Officer #3:	[REDACTED] Star [REDACTED] Employee ID# [REDACTED] Date of Appointment: [REDACTED], 2006; Police Officer, Unit [REDACTED] Date of Birth: [REDACTED], 1973, Female, Hispanic
Involved Officer #4:	[REDACTED] Star [REDACTED] Employee ID# [REDACTED] Date of Appointment: [REDACTED], 2006; Police Officer, Unit [REDACTED] Date of Birth: [REDACTED] 1980, Male, African-American
Involved Officer #5:	[REDACTED] Star [REDACTED] Employee ID# [REDACTED] Date of Appointment: [REDACTED], 2005; Police Officer, Unit [REDACTED] Date of Birth: [REDACTED], 1978, Male, White
Involved Individual #1:	Unknown, Female, African-American ²
Case Type:	4 th Amendment

I. ALLEGATIONS

Officer	Allegation	Finding / Recommendation
Officer [REDACTED]	1. On July 26, 2017, it is reported that you failed to provide name and badge number upon	Not Sustained

¹ On September 15, 2017, the Civilian Office of Police Accountability (COPA) replaced the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA) as the civilian oversight agency of the Chicago Police Department. Therefore, this investigation, which began under IPRA, was transferred to COPA on September 15, 2017, and the recommendation(s) set forth herein are the recommendation(s) of COPA.

	<p>request by private citizens, in violation of Rule 37.</p> <p>2. On July 26, 2017, it is reported that you failed to submit an Investigatory Stop Report (ISR) regarding this incident, in violation of Rule 10.</p> <p>3. On July 26, 2017, it is reported that you detained Unknown Female without justification, in violation of Rule 6 and Rule 8.</p> <p>4. On July 26, 2017, it is reported that you failed to report to the Department that other Department member(s) conducted a search of Unknown Female's bag without justification, in violation of Rule 21 and 22.</p>	<p>Not Sustained</p> <p>Not Sustained</p> <p>Not Sustained</p>
Officer █	<p>1. On July 26, 2017, it is reported that you failed to provide name and badge number upon request by private citizens, in violation of Rule 37.</p> <p>2. On July 26, 2017, it is reported that you failed to submit an Investigatory Stop Report (ISR) regarding this incident, in violation of Rule 10.</p> <p>3. On July 26, 2017, it is reported that you detained Unknown Female without justification, in violation of Rule 6 and Rule 8.</p> <p>4. On July 26, 2017, it is reported that you failed to report to the Department that other Department member(s) conducted a search of Unknown Female's bag without justification, in violation of Rule 21 and 22.</p>	<p>Not Sustained</p> <p>Not Sustained</p> <p>Not Sustained</p> <p>Not Sustained</p>
Officer █	<p>1. On July 26, 2017, it is reported that you failed to provide name and badge number upon request by private citizens, in violation of Rule 37.</p>	<p>Not Sustained</p>

	<ol style="list-style-type: none">2. On July 26, 2017, it is reported that you failed to submit an Investigatory Stop Report (ISR) regarding this incident, in violation of Rule 10.3. On July 26, 2017, it is reported that you detained an unknown Female without justification, in violation of Rule 6 and Rule 8.4. On July 26, 2017, it is reported that you failed to report to the Department that other Department member(s) conducted a search of Unknown Female's bag without justification, in violation of Rule 21 and 22.	Not Sustained Not Sustained Not Sustained
Officer █	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On July 26, 2017, it is reported that you conducted a search of an unknown female's bag without justification, in violation of Rule 6 and Rule 8.2. On July 26, 2017, it is reported that you failed to submit an Investigatory Stop Report (ISR) regarding this incident, in violation of Rule 10.3. On July 26, 2017, it is reported that you failed to report to the Department that other Department member(s) conducted a search of an unknown female's bag without justification, in violation of Rule 21 and 22.	Not Sustained Not Sustained Not Sustained
Officer █	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On July 26, 2017, it is reported that you conducted a search of an unknown female's bag without justification, in violation of Rule 6 and Rule 8.2. On July 26, 2017, it is reported that you failed to submit an Investigatory Stop Report (ISR) regarding this incident, in violation of Rule 10.3. On July 26, 2017, it is reported that you failed to report to the Department that other Department member(s) conducted a search of	Not Sustained Not Sustained Not Sustained

[REDACTED] an unknown female's bag without justification, in violation of Rule 21 and 22. [REDACTED]

II. SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE²

Complainant [REDACTED] stated that while attending a beach BBQ sponsored by the [REDACTED] at [REDACTED] Beach, four officers arrived, arbitrarily selected a young African-American female from the group she was with, took her backpack, conducted a "pat down", and restrained the woman against a squad car. [REDACTED] approached the officers and asked for an explanation and requested the officer's names and badge numbers, which they refused. [REDACTED] took pictures of the license plates for the three department vehicles the officers arrived in and provided descriptions of the five officers³. [REDACTED] did not know the African-American female or her name and could not provide any additional information.⁴

Based on the department license plates provided, COPA determined that the officers assigned to the vehicles were Officers [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. Each officer was interviewed by COPA. All five officers remembered their participation in the armed robbery investigation, but each denied ever performing a search, or pat down of any suspect. Further, each officer remembered crowds forming and performing security function but denied failing to provide their names or badge numbers.

III. ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION

This case presents issues of fact that cannot be resolved one way or another. There are two competing versions of events from two parties that are equally credible. The versions of the event are inconsistent between the two parties, and COPA does not find that either version should be given more weight than the other. Additionally, there is no documentary, physical, or audiovisual evidence that may shed light on the truth. COPA therefore finds that all the allegations against the officers are **Not Sustained**.

²COPA conducted a full and complete investigation of this matter, including the interview of all pertinent civilian and officer witnesses, and the collection and review of digital, documentary, and forensic evidence. As part of COPA's ongoing efforts to increase case closure capacity, certain cases opened under IPRA are summarized more succinctly in a Modified Summary Report of Investigation, pursuant to COPA Guideline Modified Summary Report of Investigation Template and Approvals, effective February 13, 2019.

³ [REDACTED] said there were three female officers, two Latina and one white, and two white male officers.

⁴ COPA made attempts to obtain additional witness names through [REDACTED] and directly though the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Directors from the center never returned calls and [REDACTED] refused to cooperate with COPAs requests.

Approved:



June 6, 2019

Andrea Kersten

Deputy Chief Administrator – Chief Investigator

Date

Appendix A

Assigned Investigative Staff

Squad#:	IV
Investigator:	[REDACTED]
Supervising Investigator:	
Deputy Chief Administrator:	Andrea Kersten